



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,341	09/10/2003	Masatoshi Kimura	031103	1883

38834 7590 08/31/2007
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

YANCHUS III, PAUL B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2116

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,341	KIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Yanchus	2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This non-final office action is in response to amendments filed on 8/14/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al., US Patent no. 6,580,950 [Johnson], in view of Oishi, US Patent no. 5,958,059.

Regarding claim 1, Johnson discloses a gateway card [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that is connected to an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], the gateway card comprising:

a receiving unit [Dial Modem, DSL or Cable Modem in Figure 5] that receives from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus [X10 Lights in Figure 5] to be remote controlled and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

a data setting unit [Microprocessor in Figure 5] that makes the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Oishi discloses changing a power mode of an information processor [main control unit] from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when a receiving unit [I/F unit] receives a remote control request [request signal from PC, column 3, lines 5-16 and Figure 1], and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of the remote control data is complete [column 5, lines 37-41]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Oishi power control teachings into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Oishi, column 1, lines 26-30].

Regarding claim 3, Johnson further discloses that the data setting unit identifies one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and makes the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 4, Johnson discloses a gateway control method applied to a gateway card [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that is connected to an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], the gateway control method comprising:

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled [X10

Art Unit: 2116

Lights in Figure 5] and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Oishi discloses changing a power mode of an information processor [main control unit] from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when a receiving unit [I/F unit] receives a remote control request [request signal from PC, column 3, lines 5-16 and Figure 1], and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of the remote control data is complete [column 5, lines 37-41]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Oishi power control teachings into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Oishi, column 1, lines 26-30].

Regarding claim 6, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 7, Johnson discloses a computer program that is applied to a gateway card [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that is connected to an information processor [X10

Interface in Figure 5] and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], the gateway control method comprising:

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Oishi discloses changing a power mode of an information processor [main control unit] from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when a receiving unit [I/F unit] receives a remote control request [request signal from PC, column 3, lines 5-16 and Figure 1], and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of the remote control data is complete [column 5, lines 37-41]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Oishi power control teachings into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Oishi, column 1, lines 26-30].

Regarding claim 9, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote

control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 10, Johnson discloses a gateway apparatus [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] having an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and a gateway section that is connected to the information processor and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], wherein the gateway section includes

a receiving unit [Dial Modem, DSL or Cable Modem in Figure 5] that receives from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus [X10 Lights in Figure 5] to be remote controlled and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

a data setting unit [Microprocessor in Figure 5] that makes the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Oishi discloses changing a power mode of an information processor [main control unit] from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when a receiving unit [I/F unit] receives a remote control request [request signal from PC, column 3, lines 5-16 and Figure 1], and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of the remote control data is complete [column 5, lines 37-41]. It would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2116

ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Oishi power control teachings into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Oishi, column 1, lines 26-30].

Regarding claim 12, Johnson further discloses that the data setting unit identifies one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and makes the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 13, Johnson discloses a gateway control method applied to a gateway apparatus [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that has an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and a gateway section that is connected to the information processor and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], wherein the gateway section executes

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled [X10 Lights in Figure 5] and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52];

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete.

Art Unit: 2116

Oishi discloses changing a power mode of an information processor [main control unit] from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when a receiving unit [I/F unit] receives a remote control request [request signal from PC, column 3, lines 5-16 and Figure 1], and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of the remote control data is complete [column 5, lines 37-41]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Oishi power control teachings into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Oishi, column 1, lines 26-30].

Regarding claim 15, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 16, Johnson discloses a computer program applied to a gateway apparatus [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that has an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and a gateway section that is connected to the information processor and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], wherein the gateway section executes

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled [X10 Lights in Figure 5] and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52];

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Oishi discloses changing a power mode of an information processor [main control unit] from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when a receiving unit [I/F unit] receives a remote control request [request signal from PC, column 3, lines 5-16 and Figure 1], and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of the remote control data is complete [column 5, lines 37-41]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Oishi power control teachings into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Oishi, column 1, lines 26-30].

Regarding claim 18, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Claims 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al., US Patent no. 6,580,950 [Johnson] and Oishi, US Patent no. 5,958,059, in view of Hilt, US Patent no. 6,738,820.

Art Unit: 2116

Johnson and Oishi, as described above, disclose a gateway card and control method for a gateway card. Specifically, Johnson discloses that the remote controlled apparatus [lighting controls] may also send status information to the remote control device [column 5, lines 45-49]. Therefore a user at the remote control device could send a command to the lighting controls to turn on/off the lights and then receive status information from the lighting controls indicating if the lights were in fact turned on/off. Johnson does not disclose that the status information is sent to the remote control device via email. Hilt discloses a gateway comprising an email module that sends emails containing status information to a remote control device [column 3, line 65 – column 4, line 9 and column 4, lines 30-34]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Johnson and Oishi gateway card to include an email module for communicating status email messages from the controlled apparatus to the remote controlling device. One would be motivated to use email for communication between the gateway and the remote controlling device because email services are widely available to a variety of computing devices [Hilt, column 2, line 64 – column 3, line 9].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 8/14/07, with respect to claims 1-20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejections of claims 1-20 have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Yanchus
August 29, 2007


REHANA PERVEEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
8/29/07